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Abstract

Due to uncertainties exist in the applications of the a permanent magnet linear synchronous motor (PMLSM) servo drive which seriously influence the control performance, thus, an integral backstepping control system using adaptive recurrent neural network uncertainty observer (RNNUO) is proposed to increase the robustness of the PMLSM drive. First, the field-oriented mechanism is applied to formulate the dynamic equation of the PMLSM servo drive. Then, an integral backstepping approach is proposed to control the motion of PMLSM drive system. With proposed integral backstepping control system, the mover position of the PMLSM drive possesses the advantages of good transient control performance and robustness to uncertainties for the tracking of periodic reference trajectories. Moreover, to further increase the robustness of the PMLSM drive, an adaptive RNN uncertainty observer is proposed to estimate the required lumped uncertainty. The effectiveness of the proposed control scheme is verified by experimental results.
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1. Introduction

The direct drive design of mechanical applications, which is based on PMLSM, has many advantages over its indirect counterpart: such as no backlash and less friction, high speed and high precision in long distance location, simple mechanical construction, high thrust force [1]. Therefore, the PMLSM is suitable for high-performance servo applications and has been used widely for the industrial robots, semiconductor manufacturing systems, and machine tools, etc. [1-3].

The backstepping design provides a systematic framework for the design of tracking and regulation strategies suitable for a large class of state feedback linearizable nonlinear systems. The approach can be extended to handle systems with unknown parameters via adaptive backstepping [4-10]. The idea of backstepping design is to select recursively some appropriate functions of state variables as pseudo-control inputs for lower dimension subsystems of the overall system. Each backstepping stage results in a new pseudo-control design, express in terms of the pseudo control designs from preceding design stages. When the procedure terminates, a feedback design for the true control input results achieves the original design objective by virtue of a final Lyapunov function, which is formed by summing up the Lyapunov functions associated with each individual design stage [4-10]. In addition, owing to the robust control performance of adaptive backstepping control and sliding mode control, numerous combined adaptive backstepping and sliding-mode control schemes have appeared for both
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linear and nonlinear systems [7-10].

The NNs can be mainly classified as feedforward neural networks (FNNs) and recurrent neural networks (RNNs) according to the structures [11-18]. It is well known that an FNN is capable of approximating any continuous functions closely. However, the FNN is a static mapping; it is unable to represent a dynamic mapping without the aid of tapped delays. The RNNs [11-18] are a general case of artificial neural networks where the connections are not feed-forward ones only. In RNNs, connections between units form directed cycles, providing an implicit internal memory. Those RNNs are adapted to problems dealing with signals evolving through time. Their internal memory gives them the ability to naturally take time into account. Valuable approximation results have been obtained for dynamical systems. The RNNs which comprise both feedforward and feedback connections, have superior capabilities than FNNs, such as dynamic behavior and the ability to store information. Since recurrent neuron has an internal feedback loop, it captures the dynamic response of a system without external feedback through delays. Thus, RNNs are dynamic mapping and demonstrate good control performance in the presence of unmodelled dynamics, parameter variations and external disturbances [11-18]. Lin et al. [17] incorporated recurrent neural network and an adaptive backstepping controller to control linear induction motor drive for periodic reference trajectory tracking. Lin et al. [18] developed adaptive backstepping controller and RNN uncertainty observer to control the periodic reference trajectory tracking issue for a synchronous reluctance motor drive. For the purpose of real-time control, a RNN with simple network structure is proposed in this study.

Due to uncertain ties exist in the applications of the PMLSM servo drive which seriously influence the control performance, thus, integral backstepping controller and adaptive RNNUO is proposed to control the rotor of the PMLSM to track periodic references. In the proposed control scheme, an integral backstepping approach is proposed to control motion of PMLSM drive system. Moreover, to further increase the robustness of the PMLSM drive, an adaptive RNNUO is proposed to estimate the required lumped uncertainty in integral backstepping control system. Thus, an integral backstepping control system using adaptive RNNUO is proposed to control the mover of the PMLSM to track periodic references. The effectiveness of the proposed control scheme is verified by experimental results.

2. Configuration of PMLSM Drive

The machine model of a PMLSM can be described in synchronous rotating reference frame as follows [1-3]:

\[ v_q = R_s i_q + \dot{\lambda}_q + \omega_r \lambda_d \]  
\[ v_d = R_s i_d + \dot{\lambda}_d - \omega_r \lambda_q \]  

where

\[ \lambda_q = L_{q \delta} i_q \]  
\[ \lambda_d = L_{d \delta} i_d + \lambda_{pm} \]  
\[ \omega_r = P \omega_e \]  

and \( V_d \), \( V_q \) are the d and q axis voltages; \( i_d \), \( i_q \) are the d and q axis currents; \( R_s \) is the phase winding resistance; \( L_d \), \( L_q \) are the d and q axis inductances; \( \omega_r \) is the angular velocity of the mover; \( \omega_e \) is the electrical angular velocity; \( \lambda_{pm} \) is the permanent magnet flux linkage; \( P \) is the number of pole pairs. Moreover,

\[ \omega_e = \pi v_r / \tau \]  
\[ v_r = P v_e = 2 \tau f_e \]  

where \( v_r \) is the linear velocity; \( \tau \) is the pole pitch; \( v_e \) is the electric linear velocity; \( f_e \) is the electric frequency. The developed
The electromagnetic power is given by [2]

\[ P_e = F_e v_e = 3P \lambda_d i_d + (L_d - L_q) i_d |v_e| / 2 \]  

(8)

Thus, the electromagnetic force is

\[ F_e = 3\pi P \lambda_d i_d + (L_d - L_q) i_d / 2\tau \]  

(9)

and the mover dynamic equation is

\[ F_e = M i_q + D i_q + F_L \]  

(10)

Where \( F_e \) is the electromagnetic force; \( M \) is the total mass of the moving element system; \( D \) is the viscous friction and iron-loss coefficient; \( F_L \) is the external disturbance term.

The basic control approach of a PMLSM servo drive is based on field orientation [2]. The flux position in the \( d-q \) coordinates can be determined by the Hall sensors. In (4), (8) and (9), if \( i_d = 0 \), the \( d \)-axis flux linkage \( \lambda_d \) is fixed since \( \lambda_{pm} \) is constant for a PMLSM, and the electromagnetic force \( F_e \) is then proportional to \( i_q^* \) which is determined by closed-loop control. The rotor flux is produced in the \( d \)-axis only, while the current vector is generated in the \( q \) -axis for the field-oriented control. Since the generated motor force is linearly proportional to the \( q \)-axis current as the \( d \)-axis rotor flux is constant in (4), the maximum force per ampere can be achieved. The resulted force equation is

\[ F_e = 3\pi \lambda_{pm} i_q / 2\tau \]  

(11)

The optimal electromagnetic performance for the actuator is therefore realized by controlling the primary current distributions to lie in the \( q \)-axis, i.e., \( i_d = 0 \) and this will yield a linear force per amp characteristic for the actuator.

The configuration of a field-oriented PMLSM servo drive system is shown in Fig. 1, which consists of a PMLSM, a ramp comparison current-controlled PWM VSI, a field-orientation mechanism, a coordinate translator, a speed control loop, a position control loop, a linear scale and Hall sensors. The flux position of the PM is detected by the output signals of the Hall sensors denoted \( U, V \) and \( W \). Different sizes of iron disks can be mounted on the mover of PMLSM to change the mass of the moving element and viscous friction. The field-oriented mechanism drive system was implemented by TMS320C32 DSP control system. A host PC downloads the program running on the DSP. With the implementation of field-oriented control [1-3], the PMLSM drive can be simplified to a control system with block diagram shown in Fig. 2, in which

\[ F_e = K_f i_q^* \]  

(12)

\[ K_f = 3\pi P \lambda_{pm} / 2\tau \]  

(13)

\[ H_f(s) = \frac{1}{M + D} \]  

(14)

where \( K_f \) is the thrust coefficient; \( i_q^* \) is the command of thrust current; \( s \) is the a Laplace's operator. The PMLSM used in this study is 220V 3.5A 1kW 213N type. For the convenience of the controller design, the position and speed signals in the control loop are set at \( 1V = 0.075m \) and \( 1V = 0.075m/sec \). The parameters of the system are:

\[ K_f = 60.8 \text{ N/A}, \]
\[ M = 2.7 \text{kg}, D = 0.0205 \text{ Nsec/V} \]
\[ D = 92.56 \text{ kg m/sec} = 6.942 \text{ N/V} \]

The "-" symbol represents the system parameter in the nominal condition.
3. Integral Backstepping Control System Using Adaptive RNN Uncertainty Observer

Consider a drive system with parameter variations, external load disturbance, and friction force for the actual PMLSM servo drive system, then (10) can be rewritten as

\[
\dot{d}_r = v_r = X_p
\]

\[
\dot{X}_p = (A_s + \Delta A)X_p + (B_s + \Delta B_u)U_s + C_F_L
\]

\[
Y = d_r
\]

where \(d_r\) is the mover position of the PMLSM; \(X_p\) is the mover velocity of the PMLSM; \(A_s = -D/M\); \(B_s = K_f/M > 0\); \(C_s = -I/M\); \(\Delta A\) and \(\Delta B\) denote the uncertainties introduced by system parameters \(M\) and \(D\); \(U_s\) is the control input to the PMLSM drive system. Reformulate (17), then

\[
\dot{X}_p = A_sX_p + B_sU_s + H
\]

where \(H\) is named the lumped uncertainty and defined by

\[
H = \Delta A X_p + \Delta B U_s + C F_L
\]

The lumped uncertainty \(H\) will be observed by an adaptive uncertainty observer and assumed to be a constant during the observation. The above assumption is valid in practical digital processing of the observer since the sampling period of the observer is short enough to compare with the variation of \(H\).

The control objective is to design an Integral backstepping control system for the output \(Y\) of the system shown in (18) to track the reference trajectory \(Y_d(t)\), which is \(d_w\), asymptotically. The proposed Integral backstepping control system is designed to achieve the position-tracking objective and described step by step as follows.
Step 1:

For the position-tracking objective, define the tracking error as

\[ z_i = d_m - d_r = Y_d - Y \]  

(21)

and its derivative is

\[ \dot{z}_i = \dot{Y}_d - \dot{Y} = \dot{Y}_d - X_p \]  

(22)

Define the following stabilizing function:

\[ \alpha_i = c_1 z_i + \dot{Y}_d + c_2 \chi \]  

(23)

where \( c_1 \) and \( c_2 \) are positive constants; \( \chi = \int z_i(\tau) d\tau \) is the integral action. We can ensure that tracking error converge to zero by using integral action. Then, first Lyapunov function is chosen as

\[ V_1 = \frac{z_i^2}{2} \]  

(24)

Define \( z_2 = X_p - \alpha_i \), then \( V_1 \) the derivative of is

\[ \dot{V}_1 = z_i(\dot{Y}_d - X_p) = z_i(\dot{Y}_d - z_i - \alpha_i) = -z_i z_i - c_1 z_i - c_2 \chi \]  

(25)

Step 2:

The derivative of \( z_2 \) is now expressed as

\[ \dot{z}_2 = X_p - \alpha_i = A_x X_p + B_x U_d + H - c_1 z_i - \dot{Y}_d - c_2 \chi \]  

(26)

To design the integral backstepping control system, the lumped uncertainty \( H \) is assumed to be bounded, i.e., \( |H| \leq \overline{H} \), and define the following Lyapunov function:

\[ V_2 = \frac{z_2^2}{2} + c_2 \chi^2 / 2 \]  

(27)

Using (25) and (26), the derivative of \( V_2 \) can be derived as follows:

\[ \dot{V}_2(z_i, z_2) = V_1 + z_2 \dot{z}_2 + c_2 \chi \]  

(28)

According to (28), an integral backstepping control law \( U_d \) is designed as follows:

\[ U_d = B_x^*[z_i - c_1 z_i - A_x(z_i + \alpha_i) - \overline{H} \text{sgn}(z_2) + c_1 \dot{z}_i + \dot{Y}_d + c_2 \chi] \]  

(29)

where \( c_1 \) and \( c_2 \) are positive constants. Substitute (29) into (28), the following equation can be obtained:

\[ \dot{V}_2(z_i, z_2) = -c_1 z_i^2 - c_2 z_i^2 + z_2^2 H - z_2 \overline{H} \leq -c_1 z_i^2 - c_2 z_i^2 - z_2 \overline{H} \]  

(30)

Define the following term:
\[ \Theta(t) = c_1 z_1^2 + c_2 z_2^2 \leq -\dot{V}_2(z_1, z_2) \quad (31) \]

Then

\[ \int_0^t \Theta(\tau) \, d\tau \leq V_2(z_1(0), z_2(0)) - V_2(z_1(t), z_2(t)) \quad (32) \]

Since \( V_2(z_1(0), z_2(0)) \) is bounded, and \( V_2(z_1(t), z_2(t)) \) is non-increasing and bounded, then \( \lim_{t \to \infty} \int_0^t \Theta(\tau) \, d\tau < \infty \). Moreover, \( \Theta(t) \) is bounded, and \( \Theta(t) \) is uniformly continuous [19]. By using Barbalat’s lemma [19], it can be shown that \( \lim_{t \to \infty} \Theta(t) = 0 \). That is \( z_1 \) and \( z_2 \) will converge to zero as \( t \to \infty \). Moreover, \( \lim_{t \to \infty} Y(t) = Y_d \) and \( \lim_{t \to \infty} X_p = X_p \). Therefore, the integral backstepping control system is asymptotically stable. The stability of the proposed integral backstepping control system, which is shown in Fig. 3, can be guaranteed.

![Fig. 3 The block diagram of integral backstepping control system](image)

**Step 3:**

Since the lumped uncertainty \( H \) is unknown in practical application, the upper bound \( \overline{H} \) is difficult to determine. Therefore, a RNN uncertainty observer is proposed to adapt the value of the lumped uncertainty \( \hat{H} \). A three-layer RNN, which comprises an input (the \( i \) layer), a hidden (the \( j \) layer) and an output layer (the \( k \) layer), is adopted to implement the proposed control system. The signal propagation and the activation function in each layer is introduced as follows:

**Layer 1: Input Layer**

\[ \text{net}_i^1(N) = x_i^1(N), \quad y_i^1(N) = f_i^1(\text{net}_i^1(N)) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\text{net}_i^1(N)}}, \quad i = 1,2 \quad (33) \]

where \( x_i^1 \) represents the \( i \)th input to the node of input layer; \( N \) denotes the number of iterations; \( f_i^1 \) is the activation function, which is a sigmoidal function. The inputs of the RNN are the tracking error \( e_m \), which is the difference between the output of the reference model \( d_m \) and the mover position \( d_x \), and its derivative.

**Layer 2: Hidden Layer**

\[ \text{net}_j^2(N) = w_j^1 y_j^1(N-1) + \sum_i w_{ji}^1 x_i^1(N), \quad y_j^1(N) = f_j^1(\text{net}_j^1(N)) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\text{net}_j^1(N)}}, \quad j = 1,2,...,l \quad (34) \]

where \( w_j^1 \) are the recurrent weight for the units in the hidden layer; \( w_{ji}^1 \) are the connective weights between the input layer and the hidden layer; \( l \) is the number of neurons in the hidden layer; \( f_j^1 \) is the activation function, which is also a sigmoidal function; \( y_j^1(N) = x_j^1(N) \) represents the \( j \)th input to the node of hidden layer.
Layer 3: Output Layer

\[
\text{net}_i^3(N) = \sum_j w_{ij}^3 x_j^2(N), \quad y_i^3(N) = f_i^3(\text{net}_i^3(N)) = \text{net}_i^3, \quad k = l
\]  

where \( w_{ij}^3 \) are the connective weights between the hidden layer and the output layer; \( f_i^3 \) is the activation function, which is set to be unit; \( y_i^3(N) = x_j^3(N) \) represents the \( k \)th input to the node of output layer. The output of the RNN \( U_x = y_i^3(N) \) is rewritten as follows:

\[
U_x = \hat{h}(\mathbf{o}) = \mathbf{O}^T \mathbf{\Gamma}
\]

where \( \mathbf{O} = [w_{oi}^3, w_{oi}^3, \ldots, w_{oi}^3]^T \) is the collections of the adjustable parameters of RNN. \( \mathbf{\Gamma} = [x_1^3, x_2^3, \ldots, x_k^3]^T \), in which \( x_j^3 \) is determined by the selected sigmoidal function and \( 0 \leq x_j^3 \leq 1 \).

To develop the adaptation laws of the RNN uncertainty observer, the minimum reconstructed error \( E \) is defined as follows:

\[
E = H - H(\mathbf{O}^*)
\]

where \( \mathbf{O}^* \) is an optimal weight vector that achieves the minimum reconstructed error, and the absolute value of \( E \) is assumed to be less than a small positive constant, \( \overline{E} \) (i.e., \( |E| \leq \overline{E} \)). Then, a Lyapunov candidate is chosen as

\[
V_3 = V_2 + \frac{1}{2\rho}(\hat{E} - E)^2 + \frac{1}{2\eta}(\mathbf{O} - \mathbf{O}^*)^T(\mathbf{O} - \mathbf{O}^*)
\]

where \( \rho \) and \( \eta \) are positive constants; \( \hat{E} \) is the estimated value of the minimum reconstructed error \( E \). The estimation of the reconstructed error \( E \) is to compensate the observed error which is induced by the RNN uncertainty observer and to further guarantee the stable characteristic of the whole control system. Take the derivative of the Lyapunov function from Eq. (38)

\[
\dot{V}_3 = \dot{V}_2 + \frac{1}{\rho}(\dot{E} - E)\dot{\hat{E}} + \frac{1}{\eta}(\dot{\mathbf{O}} - \dot{\mathbf{O}}^*)(\dot{\mathbf{O}} - \dot{\mathbf{O}}^*)
\]

According to (39), an integral backstepping control with adaptive law \( U_A = \hat{U}_A \) is proposed as follows:

\[
U_A = \hat{U}_A = B_z^T[z_1 - c_2 z_2 - A_2 (z_2 + \alpha_z) - \hat{H} + (c_2 \hat{z}_2 + \dot{\hat{Y}}_A + c_2 \hat{\chi})]
\]

Substituting (40) into (39), the following equation can be obtained

\[
\dot{V}_3 = -c_2 \dot{z}_2^2 - c_2 \dot{z}_2^2 + z_1 H - z_1 \dot{\hat{H}} - z_1 \dot{E} + \frac{1}{\rho}(\dot{E} - E)\dot{\hat{E}} + \frac{1}{\eta}(\dot{\mathbf{O}} - \dot{\mathbf{O}}^*)(\dot{\mathbf{O}} - \dot{\mathbf{O}}^*)
\]

The adaptation laws for \( \dot{\mathbf{O}} \) and \( \dot{\hat{E}} \) are designed as follows:

\[
\dot{\mathbf{O}} = \eta z_2 \Gamma
\]
\[ \dot{E} = \rho z_2 \]  

Thus, (32) can be rewritten as follows:

\[ V_j = -c_1 z_j^2 - c_2 z_j^2 = \Theta(t) \leq 0 \]  

By using Barbalat’s lemma [19], it can be shown that \( \Theta(t) \to 0 \) as \( t \to \infty \), that is, \( z_1 \) and \( z_2 \) will converge to zero as \( t \to \infty \). As a result, the stability of the proposed integral backstepping control system by using an adaptive RNNUO, which is shown in Fig. 4, can be guaranteed. On the other hand, the guaranteed convergence of tracking error to be zero does not imply convergence of the estimated value of the lumped uncertainty to its real values. The persistent excitation condition [19] should be satisfied for the estimated value to converge to its theoretic value.

In order to train the RNN effectively, an on-line parameter training methodology can be derived by using adaptation laws \( \dot{\Theta} \) of above the Lyapunov stability theorem. Then adaptation laws of the parameters in the RNN, \( \dot{\Theta}(w^I_{jk}, w^O_{ij}, w^P_{ji}) \), can be computed by using the gradient descent method and the backpropagation algorithm as follows:

\[ \dot{w}^I_{jk} = \eta z_j \Gamma \frac{\partial V_j}{\partial U_k} \frac{\partial y^I_j}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial y^I_j}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \theta^I_j}{\partial \theta} = \eta \frac{\partial V_j}{\partial U_k} x^j \]  

The above Jacobian term of controlled system can be rewritten as \( \frac{\partial V_j}{\partial U_k} = -z_j \). The error term can be calculated as

\[ \delta_j \frac{\partial V_j}{\partial U_k} \frac{\partial \theta^I_j}{\partial \theta} = z_j \]  

The recurrent weight of hidden layer \( w^O_{ij} \) can be updated as

\[ \dot{w}^O_{ij} = \frac{\partial V_j}{\partial w^O_{ij}} = -\frac{\partial V_j}{\partial U_k} \frac{\partial y^I_j}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial y^I_j}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \theta^I_j}{\partial \theta} = \delta_j w^O_{ij} P_j \]  

where \( P_j \equiv \frac{\partial \theta^I_j}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \theta^I_j}{\partial \theta} \) can be calculated from (34). The weight between hidden layer and input layer can be updated as

\[ \dot{w}^P_{ji} = \frac{\partial V_j}{\partial w^P_{ji}} = -\frac{\partial V_j}{\partial U_k} \frac{\partial y^I_j}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial y^I_j}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \theta^I_j}{\partial \theta} = \delta_j w^P_{ji} Q_j \]  

where \( Q_j \equiv \frac{\partial \theta^I_j}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \theta^I_j}{\partial \theta} \) can be calculated from (34).

![Fig. 4 The block diagram of integral backstepping control system using adaptive RNNUO](image)
4. Experimental Results

A block diagram of the DSP control system for a PMLSM drive is depicted in Fig. 1. A host PC downloads the program running on the DSP. The proposed controllers are implemented by DSP control system. The current-controlled PWM VSI is implemented by the IGBT power modules with a switching frequency of 15kHz. A DSP control board includes multi-channels of D/A and encoder interface circuits. The coordinate transformation in the field-oriented mechanism is implemented by DSP control system.

The parameters of the proposed adaptive integral backstepping control system by using RNNUO are given in the following:

\[ c_1 = 2, \quad c_2 = 1, \quad \rho = 0.2 \]  

Fig. 5 Experimental results of the integral backstepping control system due to periodic step command.

All the gains in the integral backstepping control system without adaptive RNNUO and with adaptive RNN uncertainty observer are chosen to achieve the best transient control performance in experimentation considering the requirement of stability. The learning rate of adaptation laws of the parameters in the adaptive RNN uncertainty observer is set to \( \eta = 0.1 \). The node numbers of adaptive RNNUO have three, thirty and one neurons at the input, hidden and output layers, respectively. Usually, some heuristics can be used to roughly initialize the parameters of the adaptive RNNUO for practical applications in the experimental results. The control objective is to control the mover to move 8mm periodically. Then, when the command is a sinusoidal reference trajectory, the reference model is set to be unit gain. The sampling interval of the control processing in the experimentation is set at 1msec. Some experimental results are provided to demonstrate the control performance of the proposed control systems. Two test conditions are provided in the experimentation, which are the nominal case and the parameter variation case. The parameter variation case is the addition of one iron disk with 8.1kg weight to the mass of the mover, i.e., the total mass is 3 times the nominal mass. First, the experimental results of the integral backstepping control system for a periodic step and sinusoidal command at the nominal condition and the parameter variation condition are depicted in Figs. 5 and 6. The position responses of the mover due to a periodic step command at the nominal condition and parameter variation case are depicted in Fig. 5(a) and 5(c); the associated control efforts are depicted in Fig. 5(b) and 5(d). The tracking responses due to a periodic sinusoidal command at the nominal case and the parameter variation case are shown in Fig.
6. The position responses of the mover at the nominal case and the parameter variation case are shown in Figs. 6(a), and 6(c); the associated control efforts are shown in Figs. 6(b) and 6(d). Though favorable tracking responses can be obtained by the integral backstepping control system, the chattering phenomena in the control efforts are serious due to large control gain. Moreover, the chattering control efforts will wear the bearing mechanism and might excite unstable system dynamics.

Fig. 6 Experimental results of the integral backstepping control system due to periodic sinusoidal command.

(a) mover position at nominal case (b) control effort at nominal case
(c) mover position at parameter variation case (d) control effort at parameter variation case

The experimental results of the integral backstepping control system by using an adaptive RNN uncertainty observer for a periodic step and sinusoidal command at the nominal condition and the parameter variation condition are depicted in Figs. 7 and 8. The position responses of the mover due to a periodic step command at the nominal condition and parameter variation condition are depicted in Fig. 7(a) and 7(c); the associated control efforts are depicted in Fig. 7(b) and 7(d). The tracking responses due to a periodic sinusoidal command at the nominal case and the parameter variation case are shown in Fig. 8. The position responses of the mover at the nominal case and the parameter variation case are shown in Figs. 8(a), and 8(c); the associated control efforts are shown in Figs. 8(b), and 8(d). From variation responses of the mover positions for a PMLSM due...
to periodic sinusoidal command at the nominal condition and parameter variation condition shown in Figs. 6(a), 6(c), 8(a), and 8(c) are relatively smoother due to periodic step command at the nominal condition and parameter variation condition shown in Figs. 5(a), 5(c), 7(a), and 7(c), thus, the amplitude of the associated control efforts of the mover for a PMLSM due to periodic sinusoidal command at the nominal condition and parameter variation condition shown in Figs. 6(b), 6(d), 8(b), and 8(d) are more relaxed due to periodic step command at the nominal condition and parameter variation condition shown in Figs. 5(b), 5(d), 7(b), and 7(d). Another friction forces and mass variation responses due to periodic sinusoidal command at the nominal condition and parameter variation condition are more relaxed due to periodic step command at the nominal condition and parameter variation condition. Since all the parameters of the RNN are initialized by using the pre-trained data, accurate tracking control performance of the PMLSM servo drive can be obtained in the first cycle. Moreover, the chattering is much reduced in the control efforts of the integral backstepping control system by using an adaptive RNN uncertainty observer as shown in Figs. 7(b), 7(d), 8(b) and 8(d). However, the robust control performance of the proposed integral backstepping control system using an adaptive RNN uncertainty observer under the occurrence of parameter variations at different trajectories are obvious owing to the on-line adaptive adjustment of the RNN uncertainty observer. From the experimental results, the control performance of the proposed integral backstepping control system by using an adaptive RNNUO is better than the integral backstepping control system for the tracking of periodic commands.

Fig. 8 Experimental results of the integral backstepping control system by using an adaptive RNNUO due to periodic sinusoidal command.

5. Conclusions

An integral backstepping control system using adaptive recurrent neural network uncertainty observer (RNNUO) is proposed to control PMLSM drive for the tracking of periodic reference inputs. First, the field-oriented mechanism is applied to formulate the dynamic equation of the PMLSM servo drive. Then, an integral backstepping control system using adaptive RNNUO is developed to control PMLSM drive under the occurrence of parameter variations. With the proposed integral backstepping control system, the mover position of the PMLSM drive possesses the advantages of good transient control performance and robustness to uncertainties for the tracking of periodic reference trajectories. Moreover, to further increase the robustness of the PMLSM drive, an adaptive RNN uncertainty observer is proposed to estimate the required lumped uncertainty. The effectiveness of the proposed control scheme is verified by the experimental results.
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