Causal Relationships Among Factors Affecting the Buildability in Executing Construction Projects in Vietnam
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Abstract

This paper aims to identify causal relationships among factors affecting the buildability in executing construction projects in Vietnam. First, through a comprehensive literature review, the study identified a full set of attributes that affect the buildability of construction projects. Subsequently, through discussions and interviews with experts, the study determined main attributes affecting the buildability in the context of the construction industry in Vietnam. After that, a survey questionnaire was developed which was based on identified attributes. The data after collected, was analysed by Structural Equation Model (SEM) and the expected result from the SEM model is a structural model. This structural model includes four factors, namely: Design applies advanced machinery, materials and construction methods; Design uses available resources at local for saving costs; Design applies safe construction methods; and Design uses prefabricated and typical components. The key finding of the study is to provide insights into causal relationships among factors affecting the buildability in executing construction projects in Vietnam.

Keywords: buildability, structural equation model, construction projects, Vietnam

1. Introduction

The construction industry is one of the most developed industries in Vietnam in recent years. There are many projects to be completed corresponding to the expectation of investors as well as meeting aesthetic and quality requirements. However, there are also many projects completed with differences to initial designs. Particularly, many projects after completed design cannot be constructed or have delays in construction schedule, cost overruns due to revisions of drawings to suit practical requirements.

Currently, there are many methods of project implementation in Vietnam but Design-Bid-Build method is still the most common method. For this traditional method, design and construction companies usually work separately. Thus a completed design which cannot match the construction is unavoidable.

Therefore, to finish projects with the high efficiency in terms of aesthetics, ease for construction as designed, limitation of many revisions, reduction of incurred costs and schedule; design companies need to consider more carefully about the
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buildability for each design. To obtain this purpose, this study needs to finish the following two objectives: Identify attributes that affect the buildability for the process of project implementation in the context of the construction industry in Vietnam; and Discover causal relationships among constructs affecting the buildability for projects deployment.

2. Research Methodology

To achieve the study objectives above, firstly a comprehensive literature review is conducted to determine attributes that affect the buildability for projects deployment in the context of the construction industry in Vietnam. Then, a survey questionnaire is developed to collect data for the analysis of the study. The questionnaire consists of two parts: the first part presents the attributes affecting the buildability, the respondents are asked to evaluate the items based on a five-point Likert scale according to convention: 1 (Strongly disagree) to 5 (Strongly agree). The second section is designed to collect personal information of the respondents such as years of work experience as well as positions in projects.

The next step, a Structural Equation Modelling (SEM) is deployed to discover causal relationships that affect the buildability for implementing projects. The SEM model in this study includes two models: the measurement model and structural model. The purpose of the measurement model is to evaluate the extent to which observed variables load up their underlying constructs. The purpose of the structural model is to discover causal relationships among constructs affecting the buildability.

The fit of both the measurement model and structural model is assessed by several Goodness of Fit (GOF) indices. If the two models achieve the minimum requirements of these indices, they are considered to be fit into the data of the study and accepted. Finally, discussion of the result of the SEM model is also shown. The next section summarizes the factors influencing the buildability to be identified through a literature review and the implementation of the SEM model.

3. Structural Equation Model (SEM)

3.1. Confirmatory factor analysis (CFA)

In testing measurement scale, the CFA method in Structural Equation Model has many advantages rather than traditional methods. The reason is that CFA allows the testing of theory structure of measurement scale such as relationship between a research concept and other concepts without bias due to measurement error. Moreover, CFA can also check convergence value as well as discriminant value of measurement scale without taking many research steps as traditional methods [10-13].

The model fit is assessed by many Goodness of Fit (GOF) indices as follows:

- Chi-square (CMIN).
- Chi-square/df (CMIN/df).
- Comparative Fit Index (CFI).
- Tucker & Lewis Index (TLI).
- Root Mean Square Error Approximation (RMSEA).

The model is considered as suitable to data when p-value (Chi-square test) higher than 0.05. However, Chi-square is dependent on sample size. If a model gets the values of GFI, TLI, and CFI higher than 0.9 [10-13]; CMIN/df lower than 2; RMSEA lower than 0.08, then the model is considered as suitable to data or compatibility to data.
Seven main factors covering attributes for the SEM model are denoted as in Table 1. These attributes are found out based on previous studies of the authors, such as: [1-9]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attributes</th>
<th>Factors affecting the buildability</th>
<th>Denoted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Design applies advanced materials</td>
<td>Design applies advanced machinery, materials and construction methods</td>
<td>B1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design applies advanced machinery and construction methods</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design considers many options for projects foundation with complex geological conditions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design considers supply conditions to imported construction equipment and materials</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design considers relocation of temporary prop structures to create more space for construction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design uses available resources at local for saving costs</td>
<td>Design uses available resources at local for saving costs</td>
<td>B2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design considers minimization of materials waste</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design considers convenient traffic conditions for workers in sites</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design ensures foundation stability for projects being executed and surrounding buildings</td>
<td>Design applies safe construction methods</td>
<td>B3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design considers safe construction methods when working underground</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ground and shape of floors are not too complex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design ensures sufficient workspace for workers and machinery during the construction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design minimizes complex components</td>
<td>Minimization of complex components and maximization of standardized components for fast construction</td>
<td>B4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design maximizes standardized components for fast construction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design minimizes complex components</td>
<td>Design considers safe construction conditions</td>
<td>B5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design maximizes standardized components for fast construction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design considers the operation possibility of machinery and equipment on sites</td>
<td>Work coordination and construction process consideration</td>
<td>B6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design considers safe conditions for projects when heavy equipment dropped</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design of construction process considers creating easy conditions for components installation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Designers, contractors and project management boards cooperate closely and regularly</td>
<td>Design uses prefabricated and typical components</td>
<td>B7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Fig. 1 presents attributes as well as factors affecting the buildability for projects deployment.

![Fig. 1 The original CFA model](image-url)
The final CFA model (Fig. 2) is revised several times by adding errors to observed variables according to modification indices (MI). After that, variables which have standardized weights lower than 0.5 are eliminated.

Data of the study is evaluated based on Goodness of Fit (GOF) indices: Chi-square/df = 1.53 < 2; CFI = 0.95 > 0.9; GFI = 0.93 > 0.9; TLI = 0.91 > 0.9; RMSEA = 0.064 < 0.08. Therefore, the final CFA model fits into the data of the study and accepted.

The standardized weights are higher than 0.5 and the unstandardized weights are also statistically significant (p-value = 0.00), so the factors achieve the convergent validity. The correlation coefficients among the factors in the model are lower than 0.9 thereby the scale achieves discriminant validity.

3.2. The structural model

From the results obtained in the previous analysis of CFA, combining with the consideration of relationships of the main factors, a structural model (Fig. 3) is proposed to describe the mutual influence of the main factors as follows:

The result of the structural model among the main factors: Chi-square/df = 1.64 < 2; CFI = 0.94 > 0.9; GFI = 0.93 > 0.9; TLI = 0.91 > 0.9; RMSEA = 0.07 < 0.08. Therefore, the structural model among the main factors fits into the data of the study and accepted.

From the structural model above, it can be concluded that Design applies advanced machinery, materials and construction methods has the positive influence on Design uses prefabricated and typical components. Design consultants generally use...
traditional structural systems (such as reinforced concrete systems poured at sites) without much attention to advanced machinery, materials and construction methods. Prefabricated components often have advantages in terms of quality as produced in factories and prestressed components will meet requirements on the aesthetic. The increase of using advanced machinery and construction methods also helps to shorten time for construction of prefabricated components.

Design enhancing the application of new materials will also help reduce costs for projects such as using light brick to make partition will help to reduce loads thereby reducing the volume of foundation and frame systems.

The increase of using lightweight and good quality materials as well as advanced machinery and construction techniques will improve safe construction methods as designing.

Design using locally available materials to reduce transportation and supply costs will negatively impact on design which considers use of prefabricated components. Projects which currently use reinforced concrete structures poured in sites under traditional methods are very popular, thereby local workers are mostly familiar with construction process. In addition, contractors are also easy to find the supply of locally available materials. This contributes to limitation of using prefabricated components and advanced construction methods.

4. Bootstrap Test

To assess the reliability of estimates, in quantitative research methods using sampling method, one sample is usually divided into two subsamples. The first subsample is used to estimate model parameters, and the second is for reassessment. Another method is to repeat the study by other samples. Two methods above are generally impractical because structured methods often require large samples, so this takes more time and cost [14]. In such cases, bootstrap is a suitable method to replace [15]. Bootstrap is a repeated sampling method with replacement.

The collected sample consists of 132 observations. The sample for bootstrap test consists of 500 observations. The test result is given in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>SE-SE</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Bias</th>
<th>SE-Bias</th>
<th>CR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B2 ← B1</td>
<td>0.111</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.663</td>
<td>-0.009</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>-1.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B3 ← B1</td>
<td>0.132</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.475</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>1.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B7 ← B1</td>
<td>0.472</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>1.074</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B7 ← B2</td>
<td>0.462</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>-0.597</td>
<td>-0.032</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>-1.52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The result in Table 2 shows that there is no statistically significant difference in parameter values between the collected sample and the sample for bootstrap test. All CR values are smaller than z = +/- 1.96 of the normal distribution (no statistical significance at the confidence level 95%). Thus, the estimated parameters of the SEM model are reliable.

5. Conclusions

In this study, a theoretical model is developed and tested rigorously using data collected through survey questionnaires covering the factors affecting the buildability for projects deployment in the context of the construction industry in Vietnam. The SEM method is used to empirically validate this theoretical model to discover causal relationships between constructs.

The result of the SEM model indicates that Design applies advanced machinery, materials and construction methods has the positive influence on Design uses prefabricated and typical components. Design enhancing the application of new
materials will also help reduce costs for projects such as using light brick to make partition will help to reduce loads thereby reducing the volume of foundation and frame systems. The increase of using lightweight and good quality materials as well as advanced machinery and construction techniques will improve safe construction methods as designing. Design using locally available materials to reduce transportation and supply costs will negatively impact on design which considers use of prefabricated components.

However, there are also some limitations to this study: the SEM model is validated by the data collected from the respondents working in the construction industry in Vietnam. For this reason, a recommendation made is that there should be further studies to be carried out to discover causal relationships between constructs affecting the buildability in other regions. Consequently, similarities and differences will be summarized and compared to this study.
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